

NORDP Conference Roundtable Summary

With multiple roundtables happening concurrently, NORDP attendees have to choose, and may miss out on a topic that is of interest to them, as well.

The purpose of this document is to provide a brief review of the highlights of the oral presentation you're attending. Please answer the questions below and return this completed form to the Registration Desk at your convenience. NORDP bloggers will post your summary at nordpnews.org for all conference attendees to view.

Please don't worry about eloquence. The blog team will edit for grammar and brevity as needed. You may also keep it brief – a typical blog post is about 300 words. You may use the back of the page for your responses, as well.

Roundtable Title:	Metrics of Research Success
Facilitator:	Sarah Marina
Scribe	Meg Bouvier
Participants:	Jane Zavisca, U. Arizona; Anda Cytroen, Rutgers; Bill Dunne, UTK; Beth Lapensee, U. Michigan; Patricia Solis, TX Tech; Shelly Fowler, U. OK – Tulsa; Debra Haring, U. Rochester; Lorraine Mulfinger, Penn State; Rob Garber
Do you want author credit on the NORDP blog for this summary? Choose one:	YES, please include my name as author of the post. NO, I'd prefer to remain anonymous.
Please provide a bullet list of 5 key take away points:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research success on grant opps mixed methods vignettes for qualitative, visual data like maps • Research Success for RD <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Evaluations to grantees (when given?) ○ Have faculty taught what they learned? ○ Faculty perception – confidence, give vignettes ○ RD faculty across disciplines share ideas ○ Academic Analytics – expensive program, mine publication data, not user-friendly, hired fte ○ measure collaborations ○ Elsevier Pure – very costly ○ measure grantee confidence
Are follow up steps planned?	